Gastrointestinal ultrasound in inflammatory bowel disease: an underused resource with potential paradigm-changing application

Robert Venning Bryant,^{1,2} Antony B Friedman,^{3,4} Emily Kate Wright,^{5,6} Kirstin M Taylor,^{3,4} Jakob Begun,^{7,8} Giovanni Maconi,⁹ Christian Maaser,¹⁰ Kerri L Novak,¹¹ Torsten Kucharzik,¹⁰ Nathan S S Atkinson,¹² Anil Asthana,¹³ Peter R Gibson^{3,4}

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Robert Venning Bryant, Department of Gastroenterology, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Adelaide 5011, Australia; robert.bryant@ sa.gov.au

Received 13 November 2017 Revised 13 December 2017 Accepted 14 December 2017

ABSTRACT

Evolution of treatment targets in IBD has increased the need for objective monitoring of disease activity to guide therapeutic strategy. Although mucosal healing is the current target of therapy in IBD, endoscopy is invasive, expensive and unappealing to patients. GI ultrasound (GIUS) represents a non-invasive modality to assess disease activity in IBD. It is accurate, cost-effective and reproducible. GIUS can be performed at the point of care without specific patient preparation so as to facilitate clinical decision-making. As compared with ileocolonoscopy and other imaging modalities (CT and MRI), GIUS is accurate in diagnosing IBD, detecting complications of disease including fistulae, strictures and abscesses, monitoring disease activity and detecting postoperative disease recurrence. International groups increasingly recognise GIUS as a valuable tool with paradigm-changing application in the management of IBD; however, uptake outside parts of continental Europe has been slow and GIUS is underused in many countries. The aim of this review is to present a pragmatic guide to the positioning of GIUS in IBD clinical practice, providing evidence for use, algorithms for integration into practice, training pathways and a strategic implementation framework.

INTRODUCTION

Therapeutic advances in the medical management of IBD have raised therapeutic expectations. A 'treat-to-target' approach has been proposed for IBD, wherein objective measures of disease activity are actively sought and used to guide subsequent management.¹ The current target of therapy in IBD is attainment of mucosal healing, which has been shown to reduce rates of clinical relapse, hospitalisation and surgery.²⁻⁷

Endoscopic mucosal healing is a surrogate marker of intestinal healing in Crohn's disease, which involves inflammation and structural disturbance of both the whole intestinal wall and the draining mesenteric lymph nodes. Endoscopic assessment of the mucosa is invasive, and has some, although small risk and is expensive, but has the potential benefit of being able to be performed by the treating clinician who can action the findings with contemporaneous

changes to medical therapy. Yet the frequency by which colonoscopy should be performed according to the STRIDE recommendations is not compatible with many healthcare systems due to cost and accessibility.¹ Such issues, coupled with the rising global incidence and prevalence of IBD, have placed a significant emphasis on imaging alongside endoscopy as a tool to diagnose and monitor intestinal inflammation.^{8–10} CT and MRI are by far the most commonly employed imaging modalities for IBD disease assessment in many countries. CT imaging is associated with ionising radiation exposure and is an inappropriate modality for serial monitoring, especially in the young where risk of carcinogenesis is substantial.¹¹ MRI is costly, time-consuming and access can be difficult.¹² The intravenous contrast agents used for both CT and MRI carry risks of acute kidney injury.^{13 14}

GI ultrasound (GIUS), often performed by gastroenterologists as a point-of-care examination, is a cost-effective, non-invasive, radiation-free and easily accessible imaging modality, and allows transmural assessment of the bowel wall.¹⁵¹⁶ However, uptake of GIUS in many countries outside continental Europe and incorporation into clinical trials has been slow, and it is often perceived to have limited clinical utility due to operator-dependence.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Every diagnostic technique is subject to a degree of subjectivity and operator-dependence, and this criticism is perhaps more reflective of a previous lack of identifiable international performance and training standards in GIUS.²⁰ Although data on accuracy and the relative pros and cons of GIUS in IBD as compared with other imaging modalities and endoscopy have been extensively reviewed, guidelines for incorporation of GIUS into clinical practice are not widely available.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ 21-25 Moreover, the evidence level for use of GIUS alongside other imaging modalities and endoscopy in modern IBD management needs to be illustrated across a broad variety of clinical settings.

The aim of this review article is to provide a pragmatic approach to the positioning of GIUS in IBD clinical practice, so as to establish GIUS as a novel and useful tool in IBD management in countries where it is underused. Evidence levels are provided according to the Oxford Centre for Evidencebased Medicine, alongside clinical algorithms, and training pathways for GIUS.²⁶

1

Check for updates

To cite: Bryant RV, Friedman AB, Wright EK, et al. Gut Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ gutinl-2017-315655

bsg BMJ Copyright Article author (or their employer) 2018. Produced by BMJ Publishing Group Ltd (& BSG) under licence.

WHAT IS GIUS? Equipment

GIUS involves the use of standard ultrasound equipment that is readily available in most hospitals. The minimum requirement is an ultrasound unit coupled with a low frequency (1–6 MHz) and a high frequency (2–12 MHz) transducer.²⁵ Although portable ultrasound units are appealing, diminished resolution continues to limit their application in routine use.

Basic technique

GIUS is undertaken using a transabdominal approach. Patient preparation is not generally required and the examination typically takes between 5 and 20 min (depending on the question being addressed). The ultrasound transducer is applied to the abdominal wall, with gel used as an acoustic conductor. Standard two-dimensional brightness (B) mode is typically used. A low frequency transducer is initially used to elucidate gross anatomy at a deeper level, and a high frequency transducer is subsequently used for a detailed interrogation of the bowel wall. A systematic approach is employed to examine the whole intestine, beginning in the left lower quadrant, with identification of the proximal rectum and sigmoid colon with progression proximally to evaluate all components of the large bowel. Identification of the ileocaecal valve and terminal ileum follows, with sweeping of all four quadrants for complete examination of the small bowel. Focused examination aims to identify both luminal and extraintestinal pathology including mesenteric lymphadenopathy and inflammatory fat, as well as complications such as fistulae, abscesses and visceral pathology. Identifiable abnormalities of the bowel include bowel wall thickening, preservation or loss of echostratification, strictures (luminal narrowing), fistulae and bowel dilatation (figure 1). Colour Doppler ultrasound optimised to detect blood flow within the bowel wall is routinely implemented to identify hypervascularity suggestive of active inflammation. Where a specific clinical question has been posed, a targeted examination may be performed. A systematic descriptive report should summarise the technique employed, the quality of the examination and detail the pertinent positive

and negative intestinal features and extraintestinal findings.²⁰ A detailed description of basic GIUS technique has been published by the European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology (EFSUMB).²⁵

Special techniques

Small intestine contrast ultrasound

Small intestine contrast ultrasonography (SICUS) involves examination of the small bowel following ingestion of a neutral contrast agent (typically 200–500 mL of a polyethylene-glycol solution). SICUS is highly accurate in detecting small bowel Crohn's disease-related inflammation, as well as stricturing and penetrating complications. SICUS increases trainee accuracy in identifying small bowel pathology and improves the detection of proximal small bowel lesions in Crohn's disease.^{27–29} The primary disadvantage of SICUS is the necessity for patient preparation, which limits its application as a point-of-care tool.

Transperineal ultrasound

Transperineal ultrasound involves detailed examination of the perineum using a small high-frequency curvilinear or linear transducer, and compared with endoanal ultrasound is less invasive and better tolerated by patients. Transperineal ultrasound is accurate in detecting and classifying perianal fistulising disease, as well as detecting perianal abscesses. Importantly, the transducers used for assessment of the transperineal ultrasound are the same transducers used for evaluation of the intestinal tract.^{30–32}

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) involves the use of an intravenous contrast agent, typically containing sulfur hexafluoride microbubbles. CEUS is helpful in characterisation of suspected abscesses and inflammatory phlegmons, confirming and tracking the route of a fistula and may help to distinguish between fibrotic and inflammatory stricturing disease.³³ CEUS may also be helpful in quantitatively determining disease activity in IBD.^{34–36}



Figure 1 Large bowel inflammation detected using GI ultrasound. (A) Normal sigmoid colon with a wall thickness of 1.8 mm. (B) Active inflammation within the sigmoid colon characterised by increased wall thickness (5.2 mm), partial loss of wall stratification and increased hyperaemia on colour Doppler ultrasound. 1. Mesenteric hyperechogenicity associated with fibrofatty proliferation.



Elastography

Ultrasound elastography provides a measure of the stiffness of tissue, representing a novel tool that may help in delineating between inflammatory and fibrotic components of intestinal strictures.³⁷

HOW TO POSITION GIUS IN IBD CLINICAL PRACTICE?

GIUS does not supersede other imaging modalities or endoscopy in IBD, but is instead complementary. GIUS has unique properties that make it particularly useful in the management of IBD, as it represents a non-invasive, cost-effective and readily repeatable test that may be performed at the point of care (table 1). Moreover, GIUS provides an objective assessment of inflammation in IBD, imperative to clinical decision-making in the 'treat-to-target' era.^{1 23 38}

Where to perform GIUS and by whom?

Point-of-care ultrasound versus stand-alone GIUS list?

In the clinical setting, GIUS can be considered an 'extension of the examining hand', gleaning considerably more information than physical examination, while incurring minimal additional time, cost or patient inconvenience.²⁰ Point-of-care ultrasound (PoCUS) is increasingly being used in emergency and critical care settings, as well as for diagnosis and monitoring of other chronic inflammatory conditions.^{39 40}

GIUS holds advantages over other imaging modalities and endoscopy in that it can be performed in real-time at the bedside, thereby expediting diagnosis, improving allocation of resources and facilitating earlier initiation of therapy.¹⁶ GIUS as a preliminary investigation at the point of care has been shown to accurately discriminate between inflammatory and non-inflammatory pathology in patients with abdominal pain and diarrhoea, as compared with ileocolonoscopy as the reference standard (sensitivity 80%–90%, specificity 94%–97.8%).^{16 41 42} GIUS performed in clinic can also make a significant impact on clinical decision-making in routine IBD care, altering the 60% of cases.¹⁵ In addition, GIUS is an ideal modality in the paediatric population, given the potential involvement of and direct observation by parents, with ample teaching opportunity.⁴³ In summary, PoCUS represents a promising tool to optimise and expedite management of IBD (figure 2). Beyond PoCUS, many centres have stand-alone lists dedicated

to GIUS for patients with IBD. Stand-alone GIUS lists may be advantageous in expanding capacity to perform GIUS, particularly for gastroenterologists who are themselves not trained in GIUS, as well as maximising healthcare resource allocation via predictable patient bookings and session utilisation. The workflow model is ideally temporally associated with clinician assessment so the pertinent information can be applied clinically.

GIUS performed by gastroenterologist or radiologist?

The capacity for physicians to perform focused ultrasound examinations relevant to their field of specialty is gaining acceptability, particularly in acute and emergency medicine.⁴⁴ Physician-performed ultrasound allows acceptable diagnostic accuracy in the clinical context, without the necessity for formal training in radiology nor a full diagnostic knowledge and expertise in broader ultrasonography.²⁰ The benefits of ultrasound performed by a member of the team delivering IBD care are numerous, including a deep understanding of the clinical question being posed, as well as the capacity for interpretation of findings in the clinical context, and discussing and expediting management decisions in real-time.¹⁵ Physician-performed ultrasound has also been reported to strengthen rapport between doctors and patients in other chronic disease settings.^{20 45}

Physician-performed GIUS is yet to gain universal acceptance, and some guidelines categorise GIUS as a specialist skill within the broader context of abdominal sonography.²⁰ The benefit of GIUS being performed within a radiology department by a dedicated sonographer is the potential for increased diagnostic accuracy in detecting pathology beyond the bowel with interpretation by a trained radiologist. Established radiology reporting software allows ready archiving of images and dissemination of findings within hospital networks. Although such connectivity is not always available within gastroenterology

Box 1 Where to perform GI ultrasound (GIUS) and by whom?

- Maximal benefit of GIUS is derived from point-of-care ultrasound performed by a member of the gastroenterology team delivering IBD care (evidence level 5).
- GIUS performed in a stand-alone list, either by a gastroenterologist or within the radiology department, may be useful to increase capacity to perform the test beyond point-of-care ultrasound (evidence level 5).

departments, this can be overcome through collaboration and integration with existing radiology networks. Experience in GIUS within radiology departments is variable, and the necessity for referral to radiology for GIUS and a further appointment time detracts from the potential for PoCUS and limits real-time decision-making. Collaboration with diagnostic imaging experts is important, particularly where anatomy is complex from prior surgery, or complications have altered the normal structures, emergent CT or MR may be invaluable.

GIUS for the diagnosis of IBD

The gold standard for the diagnosis of IBD is not well defined. Current guidelines suggest the diagnosis of IBD is based on a composite evaluation of clinical symptoms, endoscopy, histology and imaging.^{46 47} Multiple studies have compared GIUS with a reference standard of ileocolonoscopy or magnetic resonance enterography (MRE).⁴⁸ The most sensitive sonographic measure for the diagnosis of IBD is increased bowel wall thickness.^{17 18 48} Much like the other established cross-sectional imagine modalities CT and MR, other markers of intestinal inflammation contribute to the diagnosis of IBD, including abrogation of bowel wall stratification, increased vascularity on Doppler ultrasound, extraintestinal features including mesenteric hyperechogenicity, lymphadenopathy and the presence of complications (stricturing or penetrating disease).^{17 18}

GIUS has been predominantly evaluated as a diagnostic tool for Crohn's disease. In a study of 249 patients with suspected Crohn's disease, GIUS demonstrated sensitivity of 94%, specificity of 97%, positive predictive value of 97% and a negative predictive value of 94% in ascertaining the diagnosis of Crohn's disease.⁴⁹ Overall, data from systematic reviews and meta-analyses report sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of Crohn's disease between 75%–90% and 75%–100%, respectively, with an area under the receiver operating curve (AUROC) of 0.94, consistent with good diagnostic accuracy.^{17 18 21-24} The diagnostic performance for ultrasound is higher for disease located in the ileum and sigmoid/

Box 2 GI ultrasound (GIUS) for the diagnosis of IBD

- Crohn's disease
 - GIUS is a useful non-invasive technique for initial evaluation of patients with suspected Crohn's disease, and findings correlate well with endoscopy and cross-sectional imaging (evidence level 2b).
- ► UC
 - GIUS is useful for initial evaluation of patients with suspected UC to detect the presence and extent of colonic inflammation, although views of the rectum are limited (evidence level 3b).

Box 3 GI ultrasound (GIUS) for assessment of IBD disease activity, extent and bowel damage

► IBD disease activity

- GIUS is an accurate modality for assessment of IBD disease activity, although a validated scoring system does not exist (evidence level 2b).
- ► IBD disease extent
 - GIUS is accurate in determining IBD disease extent, although assessment of the proximal small bowel and rectum are suboptimal (evidence level 2b).
- ► Bowel damage
 - GIUS may be useful for evaluating cumulative bowel damage in Crohn's disease, although evidence in UC is limited (evidence level 3b).

descending colon, as compared with the rectum, duodenum and proximal jejunum.^{28 41} In the setting of a high pretest probability, GIUS represents a cost-effective tool to establish a diagnosis of Crohn's disease and help guide and expedite the need for further diagnostic investigations including ileocolonoscopy.¹² Ultrasound is accepted as the first-line tool for the primary diagnosis of Crohn's disease in the paediatric setting, where a non-invasive strategy that does not require sedation is preferable.¹⁷

The role of GIUS in diagnosing UC is less compelling given the ability for sigmoidoscopy and histology to readily establish this diagnosis in the clinical context and the restriction of the pathology to the mucosa.⁵⁰ Nonetheless, GIUS has a good capacity to interrogate left and right colonic inflammation, although views of the rectum may be limited.⁴¹ The reported sensitivity and specificity of GIUS in the diagnosis of colonic inflammation in UC are 90% and 96%, respectively on a per-patient analysis and 74% and 93% on a per-segment analysis.^{21 51} GIUS for initial evaluation of suspected UC is also informative as to disease extent, which may not be elucidated by flexible sigmoidoscopy alone.⁵⁰

GIUS for assessment of IBD disease activity, extent and bowel damage

IBD disease activity

Systematic reviews have reported GIUS to be accurate in the assessment of Crohn's disease activity of the ileum and colon when compared with both clinical activity scores and

Box 4 GI ultrasound (GIUS) for assessment of complications of IBD

- ► Strictures
 - GIUS is a useful non-invasive technique for the assessment of bowel strictures, and findings correlate well with surgery, endoscopy and cross-sectional imaging (evidence level 2b).
- Intra-abdominal fistulae
- GIUS is an accurate technique for the detection of fistulae (evidence level 2b).
- Intra-abdominal abscesses
 - GIUS is an accurate technique for the detection of abscesses (evidence level 2b).
 - CEUS is useful in distinguishing inflammatory phlegmons from abscesses (evidence level 3b).

Box 5 GI ultrasound (GIUS) for assessment of response to IBD therapy

- GIUS may be useful for monitoring response to therapy in IBD, in that it is responsive to change, and correlates with clinical and endoscopic response (evidence level 3b).
- Improvement in GIUS parameters following a change in therapy may be useful to prognosticate risk of subsequent clinical relapse (evidence level 4).
- In patients with IBD with ongoing symptoms despite medical therapy, GIUS can assist in delineating between ongoing active inflammation and other possible causes for symptoms (evidence level 5).

ileocolonoscopy, with an overall sensitivity and specificity of 85% and 91%, respectively.^{18 23}

There are several markers of intestinal inflammation. First, bowel wall thickness is the most commonly used and reliable measure of IBD disease activity, although relatively poor interobserver agreement results from lack of international agreement as to standard measurement technique and cut-off values for inflammation.48 52 The sensitivity of detecting bowel inflammation is higher if a cut-off value of 3 mm is used for bowel wall thickness, whereas a higher specificity is associated with a cut-off of 4 mm (sensitivity 88%, 75%; specificity 93%, 97%, respectively).⁵³ Second, vascularity of the bowel wall as assessed using Doppler ultrasound has also been used as a measure of intestinal inflammation in Crohn's disease, although quantification has not been standardised.^{34 54} There is minimal intramural blood flow detectable using Doppler ultrasound in normal bowel wall. However, in the setting of inflammation, an increase in both intramural and extramural blood flow may be detected.⁵⁵ Third, mesenteric hypertrophy resulting from fibrofatty proliferation ('creeping-fat') in the setting of transmural inflammation is detected as hyperechoic signals adjacent to inflamed bowel using GIUS.⁴⁸ Fourth, mesenteric lymphadenopathy is a non-specific marker of inflammation, although may persist beyond resolution of active intestinal inflammation and lacks specificity for IBD. Fifth, normal bowel peristalsis is usually altered or absent in an inflamed bowel segment, although standardisation of this parameter is challenging given subjectivity.⁵⁶ Lastly, special techniques such as CEUS may also improve detection of active Crohn's disease by allowing better sonographic visualisation of increased vascularity associated with inflammation; meta-analysis data report a sensitivity of CEUS for active Crohn's disease of 93% and an AUROC of 0.96.57

There are several scoring systems for disease activity assessment using GIUS in Crohn's disease, although until recently, none has been validated.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ The Simple Sonographic Score is a validated

Box 6 GI ultrasound (GIUS) for postoperative Crohn's disease

- GIUS is a useful modality for monitoring for postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence (evidence level 2b).
- GIUS correlates well with ileocolonoscopy in detecting Crohn's disease recurrence (evidence level 2b).
- GIUS offers advantages over faecal calprotectin in its capacity to detect the extent of recurrence and the presence of strictures/complications (evidence level 5).

Box 7 GI ultrasound (GIUS) for perianal Crohn's disease

- Transperineal ultrasound is a useful non-invasive and painless technique to identify perianal fistulae and abscesses in Crohn's disease (evidence level 2b).
- Transperineal ultrasound is accurate in identifying perianal fistulae and abscesses using MRI as the reference standard (evidence level 2b).

index incorporating bowel wall thickness and colour Doppler ultrasound, and this has demonstrated 92% sensitivity and 82% specificity compared with endoscopy.⁶¹ The most widely used scoring system is the Limberg Score, incorporating bowel wall thickness and Doppler vascularity (table 2).^{55 62}

There are fewer available data on disease activity assessment using GIUS in UC, primarily due to its perceived lack of need due to the availability of and accessibility of disease to endoscopic evaluation. Submucosal hyperechoic thickening is frequently observed in active UC, although quantitative measures are poorly defined.⁴⁸ As with Crohn's disease, bowel wall thickness and intramural Doppler vascularity have been used to evaluate disease activity in UC.^{17 63 64} Sonographic identification of mucosal thickness of >1.5 mm, bowel wall thickness >4 mm, mucosal irregularity and absence of haustra have been shown to correlate well with clinical and endoscopic disease activity indices.^{65 66} Although there is no validated GIUS score of disease activity in UC, a scoring system proposed by Parente *et al* has been shown to be concordant with endoscopic inflammation in moderate-to-severe UC (weighted kappa 0.76–0.90).^{63 64}

IBD disease extent

GIUS has been shown to be accurate in detecting the extent of small bowel Crohn's disease as compared with radiology and surgery, with a pooled sensitivity of 86% (95% CI 83% to 88%) and specificity of 94% (95% CI 93% to 95%).^{17 18 23 28 41 49} A notable caveat is that GIUS seems to be less sensitive than MRE in establishing the presence and extent of proximal small bowel Crohn's disease.⁴⁹ GIUS is of benefit in establishing the disease extent of Crohn's disease beyond the reach of the colonoscope, yielding further information (including the presence of complications) in more than a third of patients with inconclusive colonoscopy.⁶⁷

The accuracy of GIUS in evaluating small bowel inflammation in Crohn's disease may be enhanced with the use of SICUS, where oral contrast ingestion leads to luminal distension with fluid, reducing bowel gas artefact and improving the quality of bowel wall visualisation.²⁸ ²⁹ ⁶⁸ ⁶⁹ The pooled sensitivity of

Box 8 Future roles of GI ultrasound (GIUS)

- Preliminary data suggest elastography can aid in differentiating inflammation from fibrosis in stricturing bowel disease (evidence level 4).
- Functional bowel ultrasonography may be helpful in identifying intestinal dysmotility in functional bowel disorders (evidence level 4).
- GIUS is conceptually a useful tool for IBD activity assessment in pregnancy, although data are lacking (evidence level 5).
- GIUS may be a useful tool to evaluate transmural healing in IBD, the prognostic significance of which requires further validation (evidence level 5).

Table 2	GI ultrasound	scoring system	n proposed t	for patients with
Crohn's disease				

Scoring system	Grade	Description
Limberg scoring system for inflammatory bowel	0	No bowel wall thickening \leq 4 mm, no vascularisation
disease* ⁵⁵	1	Bowel wall thickening, no vascularisation
	2	Bowel wall thickening with short stretches of vascularity
	3	Bowel wall thickening with long stretches of vascularity
	4	Bowel wall thickening with long stretches or vascularity reaching into the mesentery

*The score is devised using power Doppler ultrasound.

detecting involvement of the jejunum and ileum in Crohn's disease using SICUS is 98.7% (95% CI 95.2% to 100%) and 97.4% (95% CI 95% to 99.8%), respectively, with specificity of 100% for both.²³

GIUS is accurate in detecting disease extent in UC proximal to the rectum.⁵⁰ The combined reported sensitivity and specificity for detection of colonic inflammation is 74% and 93% per segment,^{21 41 50} whereas the sensitivity for detecting rectal inflammation is poor (14%) with good specificity (99%).⁴¹ GIUS may be particularly useful in establishing disease extent where full colonoscopy is inappropriate or unsafe, such as in the setting of acute severe UC.

Bowel damage

Assessment of disease severity of IBD has evolved beyond assessment of measurable inflammatory burden to evaluation of the disease course and associated cumulative structural bowel damage.⁷⁰⁻⁷² GIUS is an accurate modality for assessing bowel damage in Crohn's disease, correlating closely with MRE in calculation of the Lemman Index, using scoring criteria of previous surgery, disease location, extension and intestinal complications.⁷³ The Sonographic Lesion Index for Crohn's disease (SLIC) has been proposed as a numerical index to quantify small bowel damage in Crohn's disease using SICUS.⁶⁰ SLIC takes into account bowel wall thickness, lumen diameter, lesion length and number, along with the presence of complications, mesenteric changes and lymphadenopathy and has been shown to correlate with the need for bowel surgery within the subsequent 12 months.⁶⁰

Although a discrete index for sonographic evaluation of bowel damage in UC has not been proposed, the presence of colonic structural damage may be identified using GIUS with detection of loss of haustral folds and postinflammatory polyps.^{41 65}

GIUS for detection of IBD complications Strictures

GIUS is a highly accurate tool for the detection and assessment of small and large bowel strictures in Crohn's disease (figure 3).¹⁷ Using surgical resection specimens as the gold standard, multiple studies have shown that GIUS performed well with a pooled sensitivity in detecting strictures of 79% (95% CI 71% to 84%) and specificity 92% (95% CI 87% to 96%).¹⁸ GIUS also compares favourably with other cross-sectional imaging modalities such as CT and MRI for stricture assessment.¹⁷ A significant advantage of GIUS over other cross-sectional imaging modalities is the capability to visualise intestinal motility in real-time, differentiating definite strictures from either functional contractions or collapsed bowel. SICUS has been proposed to have even better accuracy for the detection of small bowel strictures compared with standard GIUS as it eliminates small bowel gas by distending the bowel lumen with fluid.⁶⁸⁷⁴

GIUS can assist in determining the degree of inflammation versus fibrosis in a stenosed segment of bowel; such information has a significant impact on treatment strategy. Both loss of wall stratification and increased Doppler vascularity within a stricture are suggestive of predominantly inflammatory disease.^{54 62 75}

CEUS is an accurate modality for the assessment of inflammation and fibrosis in strictures. Using dedicated computer software, quantitative analysis of time-to-peak intensity, area under the curve and curve intensity can be performed with higher values being consistent with inflammation.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ EFSUMB recommend routine use of CEUS for stricture assessment.⁷⁹

Enteric fistulae

GIUS can be used to accurately assess complications of Crohn's disease. Using standard brightness mode imaging, fistulae appear as a hypoechoeic region in direct communication with the affected segments of bowel. In a systematic review, the pooled sensitivity for GIUS in the detection of enteric fistulae was 74% (95% CI 67% to 79%) and specificity 95% (95% CI 91% to 97%) compared with surgery.¹⁸ GIUS has also been found to be of similar accuracy when compared with CT and MRI for this indication.^{80 81}

CEUS can also be used as an adjunct to assess enteric fistula tracts. These tracts display as an anechoic (black) tract, while the remainder of the screen becomes bright as the intravenous contrast is taken up by surrounding tissue. This technique for fistula assessment is also recommended by EFSUMB.⁷⁹

The drawback to GIUS for fistula assessment relates to difficulties visualising bowel deep within the pelvis. Therefore, if a clinician suspects the presence of an enteric fistula or fistula in the deep pelvis, cross-sectional imaging with MRI (or transvaginal GIUS for women) is recommended to ensure all bowel segments (especially those within the pelvis) are visualised.¹⁷



Figure 3 Small bowel stricture evaluation using GI ultrasound. (A) Normal terminal ileum with a patent lumen (horizontal arrow) and a normal wall thickness of 2.2 mm. (B) Inflammatory stricture within terminal ileum displaying a thickened bowel wall displaying a thickened bowel wall (1), narrowed lumen (2. thin gas line), proximal dilatation (3) and mesenteric hyperechogenicity (4).



Figure 4 Abscess assessment using GI ultrasound. Large inflammatory phlegmon in brightness (two-dimensional) mode ultrasound (circled greyscale image) alongside contrast-enhanced ultrasound image 45 s after administration of intravenous contrast highlighting a small abscess (arrowed hypoechoic area).

Intra-abdominal abscesses

GIUS with simple brightness mode imaging has a high degree of accuracy for the detection and monitoring of intra-abdominal abscesses. In a systematic review, GIUS was reported to have a pooled sensitivity of 84% and a specificity of 93% for abscess detection compared with surgery.¹⁸ The same limitations of GIUS for fistula assessment regarding the visualisation of deep pelvic structures apply for abscess detection.

A clinically useful application for CEUS is in differentiating inflammatory phlegmons from intra-abdominal abscesses (figure 4). It can often be difficult for radiologists to distinguish between these two complications with cross-sectional imaging such as MRI or CT, yet the clinical importance of this delineation is crucial for management decision-making. An analysis of 57 inflammatory masses in 50 patients found CEUS had a sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 97%, 100% and 98%, respectively, when compared with surgery, percutaneous intervention or MRI, with a high interobserver agreement (kappa=0.953).⁸² CEUS is advantageous compared with other imaging modalities in that it is a rapid test with images obtained within 2 min of administration of the intravenous contrast agent. Furthermore, as the sulfur hexafluoride microbubbles are cleared via the respiratory system, there is no concern regarding renal or hepatic impairment, as opposed to the contrast agents used for MRI and CT. CEUS may be useful is guiding therapeutic interventions such as abscess drainage.

GIUS for assessment of response to therapy and prognostication

The target of treatment in IBD has evolved from symptomatic improvement to resolution or improvement of objective markers of inflammation, which has led to an increased intensity of objective disease activity monitoring to inform therapeutic strategy.¹ Current 'treat-to-target' guidelines recommend endoscopic evaluation of disease at 3-monthly intervals during the active phase of IBD and within 3–6 months of a change in therapy.¹ A shorter interval between endoscopic evaluation of IBD has been shown to increase the likelihood of achieving mucosal healing.⁸³ Such recommendations are aspirational rather than practical or patient-friendly, but they do stress the importance of regular objective assessment of intestinal inflammation and at relatively short intervals. There is emerging evidence that GIUS may be an alternative, better-tolerated and economically responsible modality for monitoring disease activity in response to therapy in IBD.

GIUS has been shown to be accurate in monitoring disease activity in response to therapy in Crohn's disease as compared with clinical activity scores, biomarkers and ileocolonoscopy (figure 5). In a small group of patients with Crohn's disease initiated on biological therapy, an ultrasound-based scoring system (SLIC) was shown to significantly correlate with clinical response to treatment.⁸⁴ The large multicentre TRUST study evaluated ultrasound indices sequentially over 1 year after treatment-intensification for Crohn's disease, finding a significant reduction in bowel wall thickness, fibrofatty proliferation and Doppler vascularity at 3 and 12 months compared with those at baseline (P < 0.01 for all), and these correlated with reduction in C reactive protein (CRP) at 3 months ($P \le 0.01$).⁸⁵ Using ileocolonoscopy as the reference standard, normalisation of ultrasound indices closely correlates with mucosal healing in 30 patients with Crohn's disease started on biological therapy (weighted kappa 0.73, P<0.001).⁸⁶ However, given that inflammation in Crohn's disease is transmural, it must be noted that endoscopic mucosal healing may not be equivalent to 'transmural healing'



Figure 5 Perianal fistula assessment using GI ultrasound. (A) Longitudinal view scan of the anal canal (a) and rectum (r) showing a small posterior intersphincteric abscess (anechoic area with asterisk). (B) Transverse view of the anal canal of the same patient showing the same posterior abscess (anechoic area with asterisk). Internal anal sphincters are indicated by the blue arrows and external anal sphincters are indicated by the red arrows. (C) Schema of transperineal ultrasound demonstrating saggital or longitudinal plane (transducer placed over anus in anteroposterior direction, with anterior side on the right) and transverse plane (transducer moved anteriorly and rotated by 90 degrees). Anal canal (a), rectum (r), internal anal sphincter (i), external anal sphincter (e).



Figure 6 Algorithm for non-invasive monitoring of disease activity in Crohn's disease using GI ultrasound. *Corroborate with other non-invasive biomarkers such as faecal calprotectin. FGID, functional GI disorders.

as assessed using ultrasound.⁸⁷ In 66 patients with Crohn's disease on maintenance biological therapy for 2 years or more, endoscopic mucosal healing was numerically more frequently achieved than sonographic transmural healing (38% vs 25%), although the difference was not statistically significant.⁸⁷ Any prognostic value of achieving transmural healing beyond endoscopic mucosal healing has yet to be established.

Sonographic assessment of response to therapy has been shown to correlate with long-term clinical outcomes in Crohn's disease. Using CEUS to assess response to therapy after initiation of corticosteroids or biological therapy in a small group of patients with Crohn's disease, increased bowel perfusion at 1 month was associated with treatment failure within 12 months.⁸⁸Among 51 patients with Crohn's disease commenced on biological therapy, those who demonstrated sonographic improvement at 12 weeks were more likely to maintain sonographic response at 1 year.⁸⁹ In this study, patients with a sonographic response at 1 year were less likely to require intensification or change of therapy or surgery within the subsequent 1 year than those without a sonographic response (11% vs 65%, respectively, P=0.0001).⁸⁹

GIUS has been proposed as a surrogate for colonoscopy in the evaluation of response to therapy in UC if sonographic abnormalities were present during the active phase (figure 6).^{63 64 66 90} In patients receiving high-dose corticosteroids for moderate-to-severely active UC, a GIUS scoring system calculating bowel wall thickness and Doppler vascularity was found to be highly concordant with the endoscopic Baron score in assessing response to therapy over time (weighted kappa between 0.74 and 0.90).^{63 64 90 91} Moreover, persistence of disease activity on ultrasound at 3 months was associated with a high risk of endoscopic disease activity at 15 months (OR 9.1, 95% CI 2.5 to 33.5), illustrating the capacity of GIUS to prognosticate outcomes of disease.

It is widely acknowledged that symptoms are frequently discordant with active inflammation in IBD and that up to 60% of patients experience concurrent functional GI symptoms.^{92 93} GIUS can assist in delineation between active inflammation and other causes for symptoms in patients with IBD. The presence of symptoms without objective inflammation might lead, for example, to use of other efficacious therapies, such as bile salt sequestrants or a low FODMAP diet and avoid futile escalation of medical therapy with its associated costs and potential risks.⁹⁴⁹⁵

GIUS for assessment of postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence

Approximately 70% of patients with Crohn's disease will require intestinal resection at some time in their life and, of these, up to 70% require a second operation.⁹⁶ Monitoring of Crohn's disease activity postoperatively is important and allows for the optimisation of drug therapy to achieve disease control.⁹⁷ Traditionally, monitoring has often been based on a combination of clinical assessment and biological markers of inflammation. However, there is poor concordance between these measures and endoscopic assessment (Rutgeerts' score) for postoperative recurrence.97-99 Faecal calprotectin has reasonable accuracy in the diagnosis of postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease and it is superior to CRP and CDAI.99 Calprotectin measurement, however, has a moderate false-positive rate for the diagnosis of postoperative recurrence.⁹⁹ Endoscopy, therefore, remains the gold standard for detecting postoperative recurrence and determining its severity.¹⁰⁰

GIUS is a simple and non-invasive tool for the accurate diagnosis of postoperative endoscopic recurrence and has advantages over faecal calprotectin including the ability to document disease extent, strictures and the presence of extraluminal complications. GIUS is a sensitive test in detecting postsurgical clinical recurrence in Crohn's disease with sensitivity and specificity between 82% and 100%, respectively.^{101 102} GIUS is also accurate in the diagnosis of endoscopic recurrence. A prospective study of 45 patients showed bowel wall thickness of >3 mm on GIUS had a sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of 79%, 95%, 95% and 80%, respectively for endoscopic recurrence (Rutgeerts' score $\geq i2$), and a sensitivity of 93% for severe postoperative recurrence (Rutgeerts' score i3 or i4).¹⁰³ In a study of 60 patients with previous ileocolonic resection, GIUS indices (wall thickness >3 mm and hypervascularity on colour Doppler ultrasound) had an accuracy of 88% for the diagnosis of endoscopic recurrence.¹⁰⁴ Furthermore, bowel wall thickness of >5 mm or positive contrast enhancement improved accuracy



Figure 7 Algorithm for non-invasive monitoring of disease activity in UC beyond the rectum using GI ultrasound. *Corroborate with other non-invasive biomarkers such as faecal calprotectin. FGID, functional GI disorders.

further with sensitivity and specificity of 98% and 100%, respectively. The AUROC was 0.99, in high agreement with endoscopy (kappa=0.946).⁷⁶

The timing of GIUS following intestinal resection remains unclear, although one group recommends testing as early as 3 months postoperatively, with colonoscopy reserved only for those with findings consistent with recurrent disease.¹⁰⁵ The use of GIUS coupled with faecal calprotectin as a non-invasive approach in monitoring for postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence is appealing and will be the subject of future studies.

GIUS for assessment of perianal Crohn's disease

Perianal Crohn's disease is a common, complex and distressing complication of Crohn's disease.¹⁰⁶ ¹⁰⁷ Even with antitumour necrosis factor- α therapy, long-term healing rates are poor.¹⁰⁸ Regular assessment with imaging is an important part of comprehensive patient care. MRI of the pelvis is the gold standard for imaging assessment of perianal disease. However, cost and timely access to MRI may limit frequent use. Endoanal ultrasound has also been described for the assessment of perianal disease, but is invasive, poorly tolerated if there is active perianal sepsis and impossible to perform if there is anal stenosis. Perineal views with this technique are incomplete and pathological changes expanding to the gluteal region cannot be assessed.

Transperineal ultrasound is a simple and generally painless technique to examine perianal pathology, and provides imaging of comparable quality to both endoanal ultrasound and MRI.¹⁰⁹¹¹⁰ Transperineal ultrasound has been investigated by several groups for the assessment of key aspects of perianal disease, including collections, fistulae and sinus tracts (figure 7).³¹^{111–113} Transperineal ultrasound is accurate when compared with MRI with excellent agreement between transperineal ultrasound and MRI (kappa>0.83) for the detection of perianal lesions.³⁰¹¹⁴¹¹⁵ A recent systematic review and meta-analysis confirms the accuracy of transperineal ultrasound in the assessment of perianal fistulae and abscesses.¹¹⁵

Emerging and future roles of GIUS Evaluation of stricturing disease

Elastography is emerging as a technology that may also provide further information regarding the degree of fibrosis in the setting of stricturing disease. Shear wave elastography (SWE) generates an acoustic pressure wave and the resultant shear wave speed through the bowel can be measured, correlating with increasing tissue density and stiffness.¹¹⁶ In small studies, SWE in combination with CEUS has been shown to accurately detect smooth muscle hypertrophy in the small bowel and differentiate between active and chronic bowel wall inflammation.¹¹⁶ Strain elastography measures the hardness of a tissue as a function of tissue compressibility and appears to correlate with the severity of ileal fibrosis in Crohn's disease.^{37 117 118} These preliminary data suggest there may well be a role for elastography in the evaluation of IBD-related strictures, but further validation is required.

Application in functional GI disorders

Ultrasound allows real-time dynamic assessment of intestinal motility, yielding important functional information.¹¹⁹ Small studies show that GIUS may be helpful in identifying intestinal dysmotility in functional bowel disorders. Bowel contents may also be evaluated using GIUS, with the potential to allow identification of faecal loading without the need for abdominal X-ray. However, further research is needed to better define its use in clinical practice.¹²⁰

Pregnancy

Assessment of IBD activity in pregnancy is challenging, given the risks associated with invasive endoscopic investigations and Downloaded from http://gut.bmj.com/ on February 19, 2018 - Published by group.bmj.com

Recent advances in clinical practice

as well as ionising radiation and the uncertain safety profile of contrast agents such as gadolinium. GIUS represents a plausible non-invasive modality for disease activity assessment in pregnancy, although there is a paucity of data on the subject. Research is currently underway to determine the effect of the gravid uterus on accuracy of GIUS in pregnancy.

Evaluation of transmural healing in IBD

The current target of medical therapy in IBD is endoscopic mucosal healing or normalisation of the bowel mucosa as seen at colonoscopy.¹ However, mucosal healing is only a surrogate marker for intestinal healing. Beyond mucosal healing, histological remission may be associated with improved outcomes.¹²¹ ¹²² Furthermore, small studies have shown that normalisation of bowel wall thickness on MRE, or 'transmural healing', may be superior to endoscopic healing alone.¹²³ GIUS holds promise as a useful tool to evaluate transmural healing in IBD longitudinally, although further studies are required to validate the prognostic significance of transmural healing before it can be considered a treatment target.

Limitations of GIUS in IBD

The utility of GIUS in the setting of obesity can be limited, where the depth of penetration may impede accuracy of imaging as well as the capacity for colour Doppler ultrasound.²⁵ However, the sonographic properties of adipose tissue can vary widely between individuals and there is no defined body mass index above which GIUS is not recommended. Due to its position behind the urinary bladder within the pelvis, the sensitivity of GIUS for detecting rectal inflammation is poor.^{41 50} Similarly, GIUS lacks accuracy in identifying fistulising complications within the pelvis. GIUS is less accurate that other cross-sectional modalities in detecting proximal small bowel inflammation, particularly in the retroperitoneal duodenum.^{41 49} Although it is possible to detect advanced neoplastic lesions using GIUS, there is no evidence that GIUS is able to detect colitis-associated dysplasia. GIUS is therefore not recommended as a tool for surveillance in long-standing colitis.

ESTABLISHING GIUS IN IBD PRACTICE Learning GIUS

Until recently, one of the key challenges in facilitating the uptake of GIUS has been the lack of an internationally recognised training curriculum.²⁰ A core curriculum coupled with identifiable training and performance standards in GIUS is fundamental to ensuring competency among physician sonographers.

Despite a prior lack of ultrasound experience, gastroenterologists are equipped with the knowledge and dexterity to rapidly acquire competency in GIUS.²⁰ There is minimal difference in the learning curve for GIUS between those with and without prior ultrasound experience.²⁰ There are multiple platforms for learning GIUS, including the use of models, for which there is broad evidence for improvement in skill and knowledge acquisition.¹²⁴ Different clinical scenarios may be entered into these models to demonstrate salient sonographic features. e-Learning tools may also be useful for learning ultrasound skills, particularly when coupled with patient-based learning that allows exposure to a range of pathology and individual variance.²⁰

The key learning domains of GIUS are a basic knowledge and conceptual framework of ultrasound, knowledge of intestinal anatomy, ultrasound examination technique and interpretation and reporting of findings.²⁰ ¹²⁵ Gastroenterologist sonographers need to be able to recognise intestinal and extraintestinal abnormalities and should have the ability to interrogate findings. Interpretation of ultrasound findings in the clinical context to

Table 3 A suggested national framework for establishing GI ultrasound (GIUS) in clinical practice

1. Identify pivotal people performing GIUS	•	Seek out existing expertise (radiologists, sonographers and gastroenterologists) who are performing GIUS; paediatric units may have an established GIUS service Garner support of key opinion leaders and champions (vital for successful promotion and adoption of any new technique)
2. Form a national GIUS organisation	•	Unite interested parties, form a committee, identify roles and responsibilities early
3. Develop and foster links with international expert centres in GIUS	•	Essential for high-quality and timely training in the technique Glean information and ideas about positioning GIUS within an existing clinical service Undertake collaborative research
4. Obtain national support		Ratification from the national gastroenterological society is important to advance and promote high standards of training and practice of GIUS Technical expertise and/or financial support may be available for newly formed subspecialty groups Seek national grants and sponsorship for initial and ongoing funding to support training (both international and local fellowships) and research
5. Obtain local support	A A A A	Develop a template business case for local adaptation Establish billing codes/remuneration models Consider the impact on other hospital departments Develop a good relationship with radiology departments to establish a collaborative approach for delivery of integrated care, including assistance with setting up the service, archiving of images and interpretation/advice regarding further investigation of abnormal findings Encourage surgical support and involvement in GIUS
6. Quality assurance		Ensure high-quality training with formal assessment of competence (national competency guidelines should be set in line with those of international GIUS experts) Determine assessment of maintenance of competence to include: performing a minimum number of GIUS scans per year, membership of national organisation, completion of continuing medical education and peer review Develop reporting protocols with structured templates and terminology for reporting Set up secure, yet accessible storage of images and reports Maintain ultrasound machines and transducers according to the manufacturer's recommendations and standards Undertake regular service evaluation to include impact on clinical care and patient satisfaction
7. Promote GIUS	•	Arrange presentations at departmental, organisational, regional and national levels Develop a webpage to provide further information with regard to the evidence that underpins GIUS, access to training resources and collaborative research projects
8. Research collaboration	•	Plan large-scale, high-quality, collaborative research projects both nationally and internationally to add to the existing body of evidence, and promote the use of GIUS more widely.

support clinical decisions through the provision of a clinically useful report are key components of GIUS.

Training pathways

The International Bowel Ultrasound Group (IBUS; www.ibus-group. org), consisting of an international group of GIUS experts, have proposed an education model for GIUS.¹²⁶ This model represents the first internationally recognised curriculum for learning GIUS, comprising basic educational components (intensive theoretical and hands-on GIUS course), supervised clinical training at an accredited training centre (4weeks with creation of a log-book of typically >200 GIUS cases performed) and a summative assessment prior to graduation (European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation Congress GIUS Workshop).¹²⁶

National implementation of GIUS

Despite ample evidence in the literature supporting GIUS in IBD, it has been underused in many countries outside of continental Europe.¹⁹ This has been historically due to a lack of local expertise and available training in the technique, coupled with scepticism as to its clinical utility and lack of fiscal reimbursement for physician-performed ultrasound.¹⁹ Establishing GIUS in clinical practice requires a co-ordinated approach; a suggested framework is shown in table 3.

CONCLUSIONS

GIUS is a valuable non-invasive tool in the management of IBD that has the potential to shift clinical practice paradigms. GIUS complements conventional endoscopy and cross-sectional imaging, and can be performed at the point of care to expedite clinical decision-making and optimise patient management. Integrating a novel tool into established practice norms is challenging, and a co-ordinated approach at a national level is required, incorporating identifiable training and performance standards in GIUS, education and promotion of the role of GIUS in clinical practice and generation of local research evaluating the accuracy and utility of the technique to engender clinician confidence.

Author affiliations

- ¹Department of Gastroenterology, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Adelaide, Australia ²Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
- ³Department of Gastroenterology, Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia
- ⁴Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
- ⁵Department of Gastroenterology, St Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia ⁶University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
- ⁷Department of Gastroenterology, Mater Hospital, Brisbane, Australia
- ⁸Mater Research Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- ⁹Department of Gastroenterology, Luigi Sacco University Hospital, Milan, Italy ¹⁰Department of General Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, University
- Teaching Hospital Lueneburg, Lueneburg, Germany
- ¹¹Division of Gastroenterology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada
- ¹²Translational Gastroenterology Unit, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK
- ¹³Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it published Online First. The second and fourth author names have been updated as well as the legend for figure 6.

Contributors RVB, AF, EKW, JB, KT, AA and PRG provided substantial contribution to the conception and design of the work. All authors contributed to drafting and critical revision of the manuscript. The final version of the manuscript was approved by all coauthors.

Funding RVB has received speaker honoraria from AbbVie, Shire Australia, Janssen, Takeda Pharmaceuticals Australia. He received conference travel support from Ferring Australia and Takeda Pharmaceuticals Australia. He has received research and/or operational infrastructure support from Ferring Australia and Takeda Pharmaceuticals Australia. He has received funding from a GESA/Ferring IBD Clinician Establishment Award. AF has received speaker fees from AbbVie, Janssen-Cilag, Takeda Pharmaceuticals Australia, Shire Australia. He has received research funding for investigator-driven studies from AbbVie. He has received travel grants from Pfizer and Ferring. PRG has served as consultant or advisory board member for AbbVie, Ferring, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Nestle Health Science, Danone, Allergan, Pfizer, Celgene and Takeda. His institution has received speaking honoraria from AbbVie, Janssen, Ferring, Takeda, Mylan, Danone and Pfizer. He has received research grants for investigator-driven studies from AbbVie, Janssen, Danone and A2 Milk Company. His department financially benefits from the sales of a digital application and booklets on the low FODMAP diet. He has published an educational/recipe book on diet. KT has served as an advisory board member for Merck Sharp & Dohme, has received speaker fees from AbbVie and travel grants from Aspen and Shire. GM has received speaker honoraria from AbbVie, Janssen,

Takeda, Alfa Wasserman Poland and Italy, served as consultant or advisory board for Novartis, Alfa Wasserman, THD and Allergan. CM has served as an advisory board member for Janssen, MSD and Takeda, has received speaker fees from AbbVie, Falk Foundation, Ferring, Janssen, MSD, Shire, Takeda and financial support for clinical ultrasound studies from AbbVie. TK has served as consultant or advisory board member or received speaker fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Falk Foundation, Ferring, Jannsen, MSD, Mundipharma, Shire, Takeda, UCB as well as financial support for clinical ultrasound studies from AbbVie and Takeda. KLN has received consultative and speaker fees from AbbVie and Janssen Pharmaceuticals. She has also participated on advisory boards for Pfizer, AbbVie, Janssen Pharmaceuticals and Takeda Pharmaceutical. EKW has received consulting and speaker fees from AbbVie, Pfizer, Falk Foundation and Janssen. She has received research grants for investigator-driven studies from AbbVie and infrastructure support also from AbbVie. She has received funding from a GESA/Ferring IBD Clinican Establishment Award. JB has served as an advisory board member or consultant for AbbVie. Janssen, Takeda. Pfizer and Ferring Pharmaceuticlas. He has received research grants from Ferring. He has received speaker honoraria from AbbVie, Janssen, Takeda, Pfizer, Shire and Ferring Pharmaceuticlas. NSSA has received conference travel support from Napp Pharmaceuticals.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

 \bigcirc Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1 Peyrin-Biroulet L, Sandborn W, Sands BE, *et al*. Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE): Determining Therapeutic Goals for Treat-to-Target. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015;110:1324–38.
- 2 Baert F, Moortgat L, Van Assche G, et al. Mucosal healing predicts sustained clinical remission in patients with early-stage Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138:463–8.
- 3 Colombel JF, Rutgeerts P, Reinisch W, et al. Early mucosal healing with infliximab is associated with improved long-term clinical outcomes in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2011;141:1194–201.
- 4 D'Haens G, Baert F, van Assche G, et al. Early combined immunosuppression or conventional management in patients with newly diagnosed Crohn's disease: an open randomised trial. *Lancet* 2008;371:660–7.
- 5 Neurath MF, Travis SP. Mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel diseases: a systematic review. Gut 2012;61:1619–35.
- 6 Rutgeerts P, Diamond RH, Bala M, et al. Scheduled maintenance treatment with infliximab is superior to episodic treatment for the healing of mucosal ulceration associated with Crohn's disease. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006;63:433–42.
- 7 Schnitzler F, Fidder H, Ferrante M, et al. Mucosal healing predicts long-term outcome of maintenance therapy with infliximab in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:1295–301.
- 8 Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. Gastroenterology 2012;142:46–54.
- 9 Wilson J, Hair C, Knight R, et al. High incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in Australia: a prospective population-based Australian incidence study. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2010;16:1550–6.
- 10 Niewiadomski O, Studd C, Hair C, et al. Health Care Cost Analysis in a Populationbased Inception Cohort of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients in the First Year of Diagnosis. J Crohns Colitis 2015;9:988–96.
- 11 Swanson G, Behara R, Braun R, et al. Diagnostic medical radiation in inflammatory bowel disease: how to limit risk and maximize benefit. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2013;19:2501–8.
- 12 Maconi G, Bolzoni E, Giussani A, et al. Accuracy and cost of diagnostic strategies for patients with suspected Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis 2014;8:1684–92.
- 13 Weisbord SD, Mor MK, Resnick AL, et al. Incidence and outcomes of contrastinduced AKI following computed tomography. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2008;3:1274–81.
- 14 Elmholdt TR, Pedersen M, Jørgensen B, et al. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis is found only among gadolinium-exposed patients with renal insufficiency: a case-control study from Denmark. Br J Dermatol 2011;165:828–36.
- 15 Novak K, Tanyingoh D, Petersen F, et al. Clinic-based Point of Care Transabdominal Ultrasound for Monitoring Crohn's Disease: Impact on Clinical Decision Making. J Crohns Colitis 2015;9:795–801.
- 16 Novak KL, Jacob D, Kaplan GG, et al. Point of Care Ultrasound Accurately Distinguishes Inflammatory from Noninflammatory Disease in Patients Presenting with Abdominal Pain and Diarrhea. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;2016:1–7.
- 17 Panes J, Bouhnik Y, Reinisch W, et al. Imaging techniques for assessment of inflammatory bowel disease: joint ECCO and ESGAR evidence-based consensus guidelines. J Crohns Colitis 2013;7:556–85.

- 18 Panés J, Bouzas R, Chaparro M, et al. Systematic review: the use of ultrasonography, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis, assessment of activity and abdominal complications of Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011;34:125–45.
- 19 Asthana AK, Friedman AB, Maconi G, et al. Failure of gastroenterologists to apply intestinal ultrasound in inflammatory bowel disease in the Asia-Pacific: a need for action. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;30:446–52.
- 20 Atkinson NS, Bryant RV, Dong Y, et al. WFUMB Position Paper. Learning Gastrointestinal Ultrasound: Theory and Practice. Ultrasound Med Biol 2016;42:2732–42.
- 21 Horsthuis K, Bipat S, Bennink RJ, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease diagnosed with US, MR, scintigraphy, and CT: meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Radiology* 2008;247:64–79.
- 22 Dong J, Wang H, Zhao J, et al. Ultrasound as a diagnostic tool in detecting active Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Eur Radiol 2014;24:26–33.
- 23 Calabrese E, Maaser C, Zorzi F, et al. Bowel Ultrasonography in the Management of Crohn's Disease. A Review with Recommendations of an International Panel of Experts. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2016;22:1168–83.
- 24 Greenup AJ, Bressler B, Rosenfeld G. Medical Imaging in Small Bowel Crohn's Disease-Computer Tomography Enterography, Magnetic Resonance Enterography, and Ultrasound: "Which One Is the Best for What?". *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2016;22:1246–61.
- 25 Nylund K, Maconi G, Hollerweger A, et al. EFSUMB Recommendations and Guidelines for Gastrointestinal Ultrasound. Ultraschall Med 2017;38:e1–e15.
- 26 Group OLoEW. The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence 2011. 2017 http://www.cebm. net/index.aspx?o=5623
- 27 Biancone L, Calabrese E, Petruzziello C, et al. Wireless capsule endoscopy and small intestine contrast ultrasonography in recurrence of Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2007;13–1256–65.
- 28 Calabrese E, La Seta F, Buccellato A, et al. Crohn's disease: a comparative prospective study of transabdominal ultrasonography, small intestine contrast ultrasonography, and small bowel enema. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2005;11:139–45.
- 29 Calabrese E, Zorzi F, Onali S, et al. Accuracy of small-intestine contrast ultrasonography, compared with computed tomography enteroclysis, in characterizing lesions in patients with Crohn's disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;11:950–5.
- 30 Maconi G, Tonolini M, Monteleone M, et al. Transperineal perineal ultrasound versus magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of perianal Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013;19:2737–43.
- 31 Maconi G, Ardizzone S, Greco S, et al. Transperineal ultrasound in the detection of perianal and rectovaginal fistulae in Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102:2214–9.
- 32 Bezzio C, Bryant RV, Manes G, et al. New horizons in the imaging of perianal Crohn's disease: transperineal ultrasonography. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;11:523–30.
- 33 Claudon M, Dietrich CF, Choi BI, *et al.* Guidelines and good clinical practice recommendations for Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS) in the liver - update 2012: A WFUMB-EFSUMB initiative in cooperation with representatives of AFSUMB, AIUM, ASUM, FLAUS and ICUS. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2013;39:187–210.
- 34 Ripollés T, Martínez MJ, Paredes JM, et al. Crohn disease: correlation of findings at contrast-enhanced US with severity at endoscopy. Radiology 2009;253:241–8.
- 35 Kratzer W, Schmidt SA, Mittrach C, et al. Contrast-enhanced wideband harmonic imaging ultrasound (SonoVue): a new technique for quantifying bowel wall vascularity in Crohn's disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 2005;40:985–91.
- 36 Pauls S, Gabelmann A, Schmidt SA, et al. Evaluating bowel wall vascularity in Crohn's disease: a comparison of dynamic MRI and wideband harmonic imaging contrast-enhanced low MI ultrasound. *Eur Radiol* 2006;16:2410–7.
- 37 Stidham RW, Higgins PD. Imaging of intestinal fibrosis: current challenges and future methods. United European Gastroenterol J 2016;4:515–22.
- 38 Maconi G, Radice E, Greco S, et al. Bowel ultrasound in Crohn's disease. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2006;20:93–112.
- 39 Kameda T, Taniguchi N. Overview of point-of-care abdominal ultrasound in emergency and critical care. J Intensive Care 2016;4:53.
- 40 Ceponis A, Onishi M, Bluestein HG, et al. Utility of the ultrasound examination of the hand and wrist joints in the management of established rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res 2014;66:236–44.
- 41 Parente F, Greco S, Molteni M, *et al*. Role of early ultrasound in detecting inflammatory intestinal disorders and identifying their anatomical location within the bowel. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;18:1009–16.
- 42 Bozkurt T, Richter F, Lux G. Ultrasonography as a primary diagnostic tool in patients with inflammatory disease and tumors of the small intestine and large bowel. J Clin Ultrasound 1994;22:85–91.
- 43 Ruemmele FM, Veres G, Kolho KL, *et al*. Consensus guidelines of ECCO/ESPGHAN on the medical management of pediatric Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2014;8:1179–207.
- 44 Dietrich CF, Goudie A, Chiorean L, *et al*. Point of Care Ultrasound: A WFUMB Position Paper. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2017;43:49–58.

- 45 Terslev L, Hammer HB, Torp-Pedersen S, et al. EFSUMB minimum training requirements for rheumatologists performing musculoskeletal ultrasound. Ultraschall Med 2013;34:475–7.
- 46 Dignass A, Eliakim R, Magro F, et al. Second European evidence-based consensus on the diagnosis and management of ulcerative colitis part 1: definitions and diagnosis. J Crohns Colitis 2012;6:965–90.
- 47 Van Assche G, Dignass A, Panes J, et al. The second European evidence-based Consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease: Definitions and diagnosis. J Crohns Colitis 2010;4:7–27.
- 48 Kucharzik T, Kannengiesser K, Petersen F. The use of ultrasound in inflammatory bowel disease. Ann Gastroenterol 2017;30:135–44.
- 49 Castiglione F, Mainenti PP, De Palma GD, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of small bowel Crohn's disease: direct comparison of bowel sonography and magnetic resonance enterography. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013;19:991–8.
- 50 Ordas I, Rimola J, Rodriguez S, et al. Imaging of the colon in inflammatory bowel disease: ready for prime time? Curr Drug Targets 2012;13:1252–60.
- 51 Ordás I, Rimola J, García-Bosch O, *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance colonography for the evaluation of disease activity and severity in ulcerative colitis: a prospective study. *Gut* 2013;62:1566–72.
- 52 Fraquelli M, Sarno A, Girelli C, *et al*. Reproducibility of bowel ultrasonography in the evaluation of Crohn's disease. *Dig Liver Dis* 2008;40:860–6.
- 53 Fraquelli M, Colli A, Casazza G, et al. Role of US in detection of Crohn disease: metaanalysis. Radiology 2005;236:95–101.
- 54 Neye H, Voderholzer W, Rickes S, et al. Evaluation of criteria for the activity of Crohn's disease by power Doppler sonography. Dig Dis 2004;22:67–72.
- 55 Limberg B. [Diagnosis of chronic inflammatory bowel disease by ultrasonography]. Z Gastroenterol 1999;37:495–508.
- 56 Menys A, Makanyanga J, Plumb A, et al. Aberrant Motility in Unaffected Small Bowel is Linked to Inflammatory Burden and Patient Symptoms in Crohn's Disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2016;22:424–32.
- 57 Ma X, Li Y, Jia H, *et al*. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the diagnosis of patients suspected of having active Crohn's disease: meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2015;41:659–68.
- 58 Walsh AJ, Bryant RV, Travis SP. Current best practice for disease activity assessment in IBD. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;13:567–79.
- 59 Futagami Y, Haruma K, Hata J, et al. Development and validation of an ultrasonographic activity index of Crohn's disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1999;11:1007–12.
- 60 Calabrese E, Zorzi F, Zuzzi S, *et al*. Development of a numerical index quantitating small bowel damage as detected by ultrasonography in Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2012;6:852–60.
- 61 Novak KL, Kaplan GG, Panaccione R, *et al.* A Simple Ultrasound Score for the Accurate Detection of Inflammatory Activity in Crohn's Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:2001–10.
- 62 Drews BH, Barth TF, Hänle MM, *et al.* Comparison of sonographically measured bowel wall vascularity, histology, and disease activity in Crohn's disease. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:1379–86.
- 63 Parente F, Molteni M, Marino B, et al. Are colonoscopy and bowel ultrasound useful for assessing response to short-term therapy and predicting disease outcome of moderate-to-severe forms of ulcerative colitis?: a prospective study. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105:1150–7.
- 64 Parente FA, Greco S, *et al.* Response to high-dose steroids of severe attacks of ulcerative colitis may rely on bowel ultrasound instead of colonoscopy. A preliminary study. *Gut* 2006;55(Suppl V):A118.
- 65 Bru C, Sans M, Defelitto MM, et al. Hydrocolonic sonography for evaluating inflammatory bowel disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2001;177:99–105.
- 66 Maconi G, Ardizzone S, Parente F, et al. Ultrasonography in the evaluation of extension, activity, and follow-up of ulcerative colitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 1999;34:1103–7.
- 67 Wilkens R, Novak KL, Lebeuf-Taylor E, *et al.* Impact of Intestinal Ultrasound on Classification and Management of Crohn's Disease Patients with Inconclusive Colonoscopy. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016. doi: 10.1155/2016/8745972. [Epub ahead of print 19 Apr 2017].
- 68 Parente F, Greco S, Molteni M, *et al.* Oral contrast enhanced bowel ultrasonography in the assessment of small intestine Crohn's disease. A prospective comparison with conventional ultrasound, x ray studies, and ileocolonoscopy. *Gut* 2004;53:1652–7.
- 69 Pallotta N, Tomei E, Viscido A, et al. Small intestine contrast ultrasonography: an alternative to radiology in the assessment of small bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2005;11:146–53.
- 70 Pariente B, Mary JY, Danese S, *et al*. Development of the Lémann index to assess digestive tract damage in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:52–63.
- 71 Peyrin-Biroulet L, Panés J, Sandborn WJ, *et al.* Defining Disease Severity in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: Current and Future Directions. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;14:348–54.
- 72 Torres J, Billioud V, Sachar DB, et al. Ulcerative colitis as a progressive disease: the forgotten evidence. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18:1356–63.

- 73 Rispo A, Imperatore N, Testa A, et al. Bowel Damage in Crohn's Disease: Direct Comparison of Ultrasonography-based and Magnetic Resonance-based Lemann Index. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017;23:143–51.
- 74 Pallotta N, Vincoli G, Montesani C, *et al*. Small intestine contrast ultrasonography (SICUS) for the detection of small bowel complications in crohn's disease: a prospective comparative study versus intraoperative findings. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2012;18:74–84.
- 75 Conti CB, Giunta M, Gridavilla D, *et al*. Role of Bowel Ultrasound in the Diagnosis and Follow-up of Patients with Crohn's Disease. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2017;43:725–34.
- 76 Ripollés T, Rausell N, Paredes JM, et al. Effectiveness of contrast-enhanced ultrasound for characterisation of intestinal inflammation in Crohn's disease: a comparison with surgical histopathology analysis. J Crohns Colitis 2013;7:120–8.
- 77 Quaia E, De Paoli L, Stocca T, *et al*. The value of small bowel wall contrast enhancement after sulfur hexafluoride-filled microbubble injection to differentiate inflammatory from fibrotic strictures in patients with Crohn's disease. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2012;38:1324–32.
- 78 Nylund K, Jirik R, Mezl M, et al. Quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound comparison between inflammatory and fibrotic lesions in patients with Crohn's disease. Ultrasound Med Biol 2013;39:1197–206.
- 79 Piscaglia F, Nolsøe C, Dietrich CF, *et al.* The EFSUMB Guidelines and Recommendations on the Clinical Practice of Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS): update 2011 on non-hepatic applications. *Ultraschall Med* 2012;33:33–59.
- 80 Maconi G, Sampietro GM, Parente F, et al. Contrast radiology, computed tomography and ultrasonography in detecting internal fistulas and intra-abdominal abscesses in Crohn's disease: a prospective comparative study. Am J Gastroenterol 2003;98:1545–55.
- 81 Neye H, Ensberg D, Rauh P, et al. Impact of high-resolution transabdominal ultrasound in the diagnosis of complications of Crohn's disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 2010;45:690–5.
- 82 Ripollés T, Martínez-Pérez MJ, Paredes JM, *et al*. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the differentiation between phlegmon and abscess in Crohn's disease and other abdominal conditions. *Eur J Radiol* 2013;82:e525–e531.
- 83 Bouguen G, Levesque BG, Pola S, et al. Endoscopic assessment and treating to target increase the likelihood of mucosal healing in patients with Crohn's disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12:978–85.
- 84 Zorzi F, Stasi E, Bevivino G, et al. A sonographic lesion index for Crohn's disease helps monitor changes in transmural bowel damage during therapy. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12:2071–7.
- 85 Kucharzik T, Wittig BM, Helwig U, *et al.* Use of Intestinal Ultrasound to Monitor Crohn's Disease Activity. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;15:535–42.
- 86 Moreno N, Ripollés T, Paredes JM, et al. Usefulness of abdominal ultrasonography in the analysis of endoscopic activity in patients with Crohn's disease: changes following treatment with immunomodulators and/or anti-TNF antibodies. J Crohns Colitis 2014;8:1079–87.
- 87 Castiglione F, Testa A, Rea M, et al. Transmural healing evaluated by bowel sonography in patients with Crohn's disease on maintenance treatment with biologics. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2013;19:1928–34.
- 88 Saevik F, Nylund K, Hausken T, et al. Bowel perfusion measured with dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound predicts treatment outcome in patients with Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2014;20:2029–37.
- 89 Ripollés T, Paredes JM, Martínez-Pérez MJ, et al. Ultrasonographic Changes at 12 Weeks of Anti-TNF Drugs Predict 1-year Sonographic Response and Clinical Outcome in Crohn's Disease: A Multicenter Study. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2016;22:2465–73.
- 90 Parente F, Molteni M, Marino B, *et al*. Bowel ultrasound and mucosal healing in ulcerative colitis. *Dig Dis* 2009;27:285–90.
- 91 Baron JH, Connell AM, Kanaghinis TG, et al. Out-patient treatment of ulcerative colitis. Comparison between three doses of oral prednisone. Br Med J 1962;2:441–3.
- 92 Peyrin-Biroulet L, Reinisch W, Colombel JF, et al. Clinical disease activity, C-reactive protein normalisation and mucosal healing in Crohn's disease in the SONIC trial. Gut 2014;63:88–95.
- 93 Simrén M, Axelsson J, Gillberg R, et al. Quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease in remission: the impact of IBS-like symptoms and associated psychological factors. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:389–96.
- 94 Bajor A, Törnblom H, Rudling M, et al. Increased colonic bile acid exposure: a relevant factor for symptoms and treatment in IBS. Gut 2015;64:84–92.
- 95 Gibson PR, Pr G. Use of the low-FODMAP diet in inflammatory bowel disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;32(Suppl 1):40–2.
- 96 Caprilli R, Gassull MA, Escher JC, et al. European evidence based consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease: special situations. Gut 2006;55(Suppl 1):i36–i58.
- 97 De Cruz P, Kamm MA, Hamilton AL, et al. Crohn's disease management after intestinal resection: a randomised trial. Lancet 2015;385:1406–1417.

- 98 Regueiro M, Feagan BG, Zou B, et al. 749 Infliximab for Prevention of Recurrence of Post-Surgical Crohn's Disease Following Ileocolonic Resection: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study. Gastroenterology 2015;148:S-141.
- 99 Wright EK, Kamm MA, De Cruz P, *et al.* Measurement of fecal calprotectin improves monitoring and detection of recurrence of Crohn's disease after surgery. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:938–47.
- 100 Gisbert JP, McNicholl AG, Gomollon F. Questions and answers on the role of fecal lactoferrin as a biological marker in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:1746–54.
- 101 DiCandio G, Mosca F, Campatelli A, et al. Sonographic detection of postsurgical recurrence of Crohn disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1986;146:523–6.
- 102 Andreoli A, Cerro P, Falasco G, et al. Role of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of postsurgical recurrence of Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1998;93:1117–21.
- 103 Rispo A, Bucci L, Pesce G, et al. Bowel sonography for the diagnosis and grading of postsurgical recurrence of Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2006;12:486–90.
- 104 Paredes JM, Ripollés T, Cortés X, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography: usefulness in the assessment of postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis 2013;7:192–201.
- 105 Orlando A, Modesto I, Castiglione F, *et al*. The role of calprotectin in predicting endoscopic post-surgical recurrence in asymptomatic Crohn's disease: a comparison with ultrasound. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2006;10:17–22.
- 106 Sandborn WJ, Fazio VW, Feagan BG, et al. AGA technical review on perianal Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology 2003;125:1508–30.
- 107 Mahadev S, Young JM, Selby W, et al. Quality of life in perianal Crohn's disease: what do patients consider important? *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011;54:579–85.
- 108 Sands BE, Anderson FH, Bernstein CN, et al. Infliximab maintenance therapy for fistulizing Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2004;350:876–85.
- 109 Kleinübing H, Jannini JF, Malafaia O, et al. Transperineal ultrasonography: new method to image the anorectal region. Dis Colon Rectum 2000;43:1572–4.
- 110 Rubens DJ, Strang JG, Bogineni-Misra S, *et al*. Transperineal sonography of the rectum: anatomy and pathology revealed by sonography compared with CT and MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1998;170:637–42.
- 111 Stewart LK, McGee J, Wilson SR. Transperineal and transvaginal sonography of perianal inflammatory disease. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;177:627–32.
- 112 Rasul I, Wilson SR, MacRae H, et al. Clinical and radiological responses after infliximab treatment for perianal fistulizing Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2004;99:82–8.
- 113 Mallouhi A, Bonatti H, Peer S, et al. Detection and characterization of perianal inflammatory disease: accuracy of transperineal combined gray scale and color Doppler sonography. J Ultrasound Med 2004;23:19–27.
- 114 Wedemeyer J, Kirchhoff T, Sellge G, et al. Transcutaneous perianal sonography: a sensitive method for the detection of perianal inflammatory lesions in Crohn's disease. World J Gastroenterol 2004;10:2859–63.
- 115 Maconi G, Greco MT, Asthana AK. Transperineal Ultrasound for Perianal Fistulas and Abscesses - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Ultraschall Med* 2017;38:265–72.
- 116 Lu C, Gui X, Chen W, et al. Ultrasound Shear Wave Elastography and Contrast Enhancement: Effective Biomarkers in Crohn's Disease Strictures. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017;23:421–30.
- 117 Fraquelli M, Branchi F, Cribiù FM, *et al*. The Role of Ultrasound Elasticity Imaging in Predicting Ileal Fibrosis in Crohn's Disease Patients. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2015;21:2605–12.
- 118 Baumgart DC, Müller HP, Grittner U, *et al*. US-based Real-time Elastography for the Detection of Fibrotic Gut Tissue in Patients with Stricturing Crohn Disease. *Radiology* 2015;275:889–99.
- 119 Dietrich CF, Braden B. Sonographic assessments of gastrointestinal and biliary functions. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2009;23:353–67.
- 120 Haruma K, Kusunoki H, Manabe N, *et al*. Real-time assessment of gastroduodenal motility by ultrasonography. *Digestion* 2008;77(Suppl 1):48–51.
- 121 Bryant RV, Burger DC, Delo J, *et al.* Beyond endoscopic mucosal healing in UC: histological remission better predicts corticosteroid use and hospitalisation over 6 years of follow-up. *Gut* 2016;65:408–14.
- 122 Christensen B, Hanauer SB, Erlich J, et al. Histologic Normalization Occurs in Ulcerative Colitis and Is Associated With Improved Clinical Outcomes. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;15:1557–64.
- 123 Fernandes SR, Rodrigues RV, Bernardo S, *et al.* Transmural Healing Is Associated with Improved Long-term Outcomes of Patients with Crohn's Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:1403–9.
- 124 Cook DA, Hatala R, Brydges R, *et al*. Technology-enhanced simulation for health professions education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2011;306:978–88.
- 125 Dietrich CF, Rudd L, Saftiou A, *et al*. The EFSUMB website, a great source for ultrasound information and education. *Med Ultrason* 2017;19:102–10.
- 126 International Bowel Ultrasound Group. 2017 (cited 13 Dec 2017). www.ibus-group. org.



Gastrointestinal ultrasound in inflammatory bowel disease: an underused resource with potential paradigm-changing application

Robert Venning Bryant, Antony B Friedman, Emily Kate Wright, Kirstin M Taylor, Jakob Begun, Giovanni Maconi, Christian Maaser, Kerri L Novak, Torsten Kucharzik, Nathan S S Atkinson, Anil Asthana and Peter R Gibson

Gut published online February 3, 2018

Updated information and services can be found at: http://gut.bmj.com/content/early/2018/02/14/gutjnl-2017-315655

Thasa	incl	lud	۰ما
111626	11101	uu	е.

References	This article cites 123 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at: http://gut.bmj.com/content/early/2018/02/14/gutjnl-2017-315655#ref-li st-1
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.
Topic Collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections GUT Recent advances in clinical practice (106)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/